FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION RECORD / COVER SHEET

TO

Name Corey Chau	
Company or Firm United States Patent and Tradema	ark Office
	OM
• • •	ORDON LLP
1801 East CLEVELAND, C (216) 5	t 9th Street NHIO 44114-3108 79-1700
Name of Sender Bob Bodi	
COMM	MENTS
Date July 26, 2004	Cleveland Time
For <u>2</u>	pages(s), (including this page)
Client	File No.
This transmission	on was sent from
(216) 5	579-6073
If you have any problem	with your reception please
telephone us at (216) 579-1700 and ask for Bob Boo	
& Gordon LLP, which may be confidential or privilege individual or entity named on this transmission sheet convents of this transmission of use of the contents of this transmission.	ission contain information from the law firm of Peame ed. The information is intended to be for the use of the t. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure is mitted information is prohibited. If you have received ne immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval
Re: Application No.: 09/542,036	
This facsimile is to confirm our interview scheduled Interview Issue to be Discussed.	for Wednesday July 28, 2004. Attached is a copy of the

Interview Issue to be Discussed

The issue is whether the following equation 6) of the mean square value, found in Cezanne et al. at col. 6, line 5, where N is chosen to be as large as possible (see col. 7, lines 5-11):

$$\beta = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{n=N-1} c_B(n) c_F(n)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{n=N-1} c_B^{-2}(n)}$$

Is equivalent to the Claim 20 language of "forming a ratio of said output signals of said first and second microphone sub-arrangements, thereby generating a ratio result" where the definition of ratio is "a proportional relationship between two different numbers or quantities" or "a quotient of two numbers or expressions arrived at by dividing one by the other" (see http://encarta.msn.com/dictionary_/ratio.html).

Note that equation 6, above, in expanded form, is equivalent to:

$$\beta = \frac{\left[\frac{1}{N}\right] \cdot \left[c_{B}(0) \cdot c_{F}(0) + c_{B}(1) \cdot c_{F}(1) + c_{B}(2) \cdot c_{F}(2) + \dots + c_{B}(N-1) \cdot c_{F}(N-1)\right]}{\frac{1}{N} \cdot \left[c_{B}^{2}(0) + c_{B}^{2}(1) + c_{B}^{2}(2) + \dots + c_{B}^{2}(N-1)\right]}$$

Which is clearly NOT a ratio of said output signals of said first and second microphone sub-arrangements, as recited in the claim. The above equation from Cezarne is more properly described as a "ratio of a sum of the products of two signals divided by a sum of the signals squared over an interval [0, N]", which is generally the description given in col. 7, lines 14-27.